UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,463	08/16/2006	Victor De Leeuw	NOR-1263	7474
	7590 06/23/200 ON & EVANS, LLP (1	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

krooney@whepatent.com mhines@whepatent.com usptodock@whepatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/564,463	DE LEEUW, VICTOR	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies 	
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date or	f the final rejection.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee and statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS No. ■ The control of th	ate the date of CP are built for the entered because
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pric (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	
NOTE: <u>new claim limitations reciting axial movement bet</u> <u>consideration</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ween the piston and valve require further search and/or
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	a attached Notice of Non Compliant Amendment (PTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<u> </u>	· e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>19-26</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-18,27 and 28</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>0-70,27 and 28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notic entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcon showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.	·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)
	/STEPHEN HEPPERLE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753
	·

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is not seen how the bias adjustment mechanism prevents the normal pressure regulator action of the references as apparently argued on the botom of page 13 of the response. Arguments drawn to new limitations are most because those limitations have not been entered..